

Addendum to: "On the anomalous dimension for the transversity distribution"

Ralf Kuhn^{1,2}, Michael Meyer-Hermann^{1,*}, and Ralf Schützhold¹

¹*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Dresden, D-01062 Dresden, Germany*

²*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme, D-01187 Dresden, Germany*

* *Electronic address: meyer-hermann@physik.tu-dresden.de*

(February 1, 2008)

We review the results of our previous publication [Phys. Rev. D **63**, 116001 (2001)] in the light of recent calculations and comments.

PACS: 11.10.Hi; 11.40.-q; 11.55.Ds; 13.88.+e

In our previous calculation [1] we applied the method of Ioffe and Khodjamirian [2] to calculate the leading order anomalous dimension of the twist-2 transversity distribution. The original motivation was to get an independent derivation of the splitting function at Bjorken- $x = 1$ – which has not been calculated directly (as no corresponding sum rule exists) but fixed by physical arguments in [3]. The obtained result differed from the one found in literature. This discrepancy offered two alternative implications: Either the anomalous dimension deviates from the expected result at $x = 1$ or the used method [2] is not applicable in this way.

Recent calculations [4,5] (see also [6] for a review), which are based on techniques different from the one we used, e.g. the light-front Hamiltonian approach, also derive the behavior at $x = 1$ directly. In this way the original result for the anomalous dimension [3] has been confirmed.

From this perspective, one is lead to review the method [2] in order to find the reason for the above mentioned discrepancy. In reference [2] it was derived that the matrix element

$$\int \frac{d\lambda}{4\pi} e^{i\lambda x} \langle p, s | \bar{\psi}(0) \sigma_{\mu\nu} i\gamma_5 \psi(\lambda n) | p, s \rangle, \quad (1)$$

which defines the transversity distribution, is *directly* related to the forward scattering amplitude

$$\frac{i}{2} \int d^4y e^{iqy} \langle p, s | \mathcal{T} [j_{\mu 5}(y) j(0) + j(y) j_{\mu 5}(0)] | p, s \rangle \quad (2)$$

via Eq. (11) in [2]. The relation is restricted to leading order in the QCD-coupling and its derivation is based on the assumption of light cone dominance, see Eq. (5) in [2]. Using the definition of the transversity distribution Eq. (1) the divergent part, i.e. the corresponding splitting function, follows directly (see Eq. (20) in [4]). If the identity derived in [2] holds strictly in the required order, the divergent part of the forward scattering amplitude Eq. (2) should be same. We calculated this divergent part and found a deviation [1].

As one possible interpretation one may suspect that the divergent part is changed due to the assumption of

light cone dominance. Indeed, the singularities of the exact quark propagator differ from the free two-point function used in [2]. This could imply, that the identity Eq. (11) in [2] is not applicable to the divergent parts. Nevertheless, recently it was argued that one can recover the correct divergent part by improving the original method through renormalization group arguments (for details see [7]).

The leading order splitting function of the transversity distribution is of special relevance not only for the calculation of the next-to-leading order splitting function but also for experiments aiming at measurements of h_1 which are currently in preparation (e.g. at HERMES, see [8]). In order to avoid misunderstandings we would like to emphasize that the outcome of our previous article [1] pointed primarily toward conceptual problems. Especially in view of recent independent calculations there is no reason to question the original result for the splitting function.

Acknowledgment

We thank P. G. Ratcliffe, G. Soff, and J. Blümlein for helpful comments and criticism. This work was partially supported by BMBF, DFG, and GSI. R. S. acknowledges financial support by the Alexander-von-Humboldt foundation.

-
- [1] M. Meyer-Hermann, R. Kuhn and R. Schützhold, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 116001 (2001).
 - [2] B. L. Ioffe, A. Khodjamirian, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 3373 (1995).
 - [3] X. Artru, M. Mekhfi, Z. Phys. C **45**, 669 (1990).
 - [4] A. Mukherjee and D. Chakrabarti, Phys. Lett. B **506**, 283 (2001).
 - [5] P. G. Ratcliffe, private communication.
 - [6] V. Barone, A. Drago and P. G. Ratcliffe, *Transverse polarisation of quarks in hadrons*, hep-ph/0104283, to appear in Phys. Rep.
 - [7] J. Blümlein, *On the anomalous dimension of the transversity distribution $h_1(x, q^{**2})$* , hep-ph/0104099.
 - [8] R. L. Jaffe, *Can transversity be measured ?* In: Hamburg/Zeuthen 1997, Deep inelastic scattering off polarized targets, Physics with polarized protons at HERA 167-180, 1997.